


PLANNING

Cairngorms National Park

Local Development Plan 2020

Supplementary Guidance: Housing

draft in preparation - 2019



Contents

Introduction	2
Purpose of the Guidance	2
Main focus	2
Affordable Housing: Policy Context	3
National Policy	3
National Park Partnership Plan 2017-2022	3
Local Development Plan 2020	3
What is affordable housing?	7
Delivering Affordable Housing	8
With Public Subsidy	8
Financial Contribution (also known as Commuted Sum)	8
Offsite Contributions	9
Commuted Sums	10
Affordable Housing Exceptions Sites	11
100% Affordable Housing	11
Cross-subsidy	11
Viability	13
Flexibility in the percentage requirement of affordable housing or making a commuted sum	13

Flexibility in the length of time that a house must remain affordable	13
Designing for Affordability	14
What are smaller dwellings?	14
Dwelling Types	14
Permitted Development Rights	14
Other Housing Matters	16
Housing in settlements	16
Housing development in existing rural groups	16
Other housing in the countryside	17
Alterations to existing houses	17
Conversions	18
Replacement houses	18
Housing for gypsies and travellers	19
Appendix 1: Affordable Housing Delivery	20
Social Rented	20
Mid-market Private Rented	20
Shared Equity	21
Shared Ownership	22
Discount for Sale (including self-build plots)	24

Introduction

Purpose of the Guidance

This guidance is one of a series of Supplementary Guidance and Non-statutory Guidance that support the Local Development Plan (2020) and explains how Policy I: Housing policy will be applied in practice across the National Park.

It is aimed at those applying for planning permission, community groups and agencies looking to progress housing proposals and for us, as the planning authority, to interpret the detail of the policies. It is relevant to single house developments and large housing proposals but does not cover house extensions and alterations which are covered in our design and place-making non-statutory guidance.

Main focus

The main focus of this guidance is affordable housing. The shortage of affordable housing has been identified a

major issue and one of the greatest challenges facing the communities within the Cairngorms National Park. Therefore one of the main aims of the Local Development Plan is to increase the amount of affordable housing delivered across the Park.

This guidance defines affordable housing and explains where on-site delivery is required as well as where exceptions to this might be made. It also sets out the expected level of financial contribution that is required in place of on-site delivery.

Guidance is also provided on house building in settlements, in the countryside and in rural groups. It also provides guidance on alterations, conversions, replacement houses and housing provision for gypsies and travellers.

Affordable Housing: Policy Context

National Policy

SPP (2014) requires that Local Development Plans to clearly set out the scale and distribution of affordable housing requirement and set out how shortages identified in Housing Need and Demand Assessments and Local Housing Strategies will be addressed.

According to SPP, the level of affordable housing required as a contribution within a market site should generally be no more than 25% of the total number of houses. Further details are provided in Planning Advice Note 2/2010, which states that 25% is a benchmark figure and that this benchmark does not apply if a different percentage is required locally. This must be justified by the HNDA and identified in the Local Housing Strategy (LHS) and LDP.

National Park Partnership Plan 2017-2022

The National Park Partnership Plan (NPPP), which was agreed by the Scottish Government and adopted in 2017, recognises that it is currently hard for many households that work within the National Park to access housing on the open market. Therefore it is the aim of the NPPP to ensure that when new houses are built, more of them are affordable to people working in the Park, and that the range and size of new houses are better targeted at meeting local needs.

The NPPP therefore seeks, through Policy 3.1 and Priority 7, to

- identify sites in the Local Development Plan where the affordable housing contribution will be more than the normal national maximum of 25% because of acute affordability pressures and the shortage of supply; and

- target public sector funding towards the National Park and to sites with the greatest potential for delivering affordable housing.

Local Development Plan 2020

The Housing Supply Target identifies a need for 336 affordable homes between 2020 and 2029. The preferred location for new housing in the National Park is within the Strategic, Intermediate and Rural Settlements. Within most of these settlements are a number sites identified for housing, each with an indicative number of units identified and an affordable housing requirement set according to Policy I.5.

1.5 Affordable housing

Developments consisting of four or more dwellings should include provision for affordable housing amounting to:

- a) 45% of the total number of dwellings on the development site in the settlements of Aviemore, Ballater, Blair Atholl and Braemar
- b) 25% of the total number of dwellings on the development site in all other settlements

Proposals for fewer than four market dwellings will also be required to make a contribution towards affordable housing. This will be a monetary payment towards meeting housing need in the local community.

Developers seeking to negotiate a reduction in affordable housing provision must demonstrate through a Viability Assessment that the requirements make an otherwise commercially viable proposal unviable.

Proposals for fewer than four market dwellings will also be required to make a contribution towards affordable housing. This will be a monetary payment towards meeting housing need in the local community.

Developers seeking to negotiate a reduction in affordable housing provision must demonstrate through a Viability Assessment that the requirements make an otherwise commercially viable proposal unviable.




Figure 1 Areas where the affordable housing contributions on all sites of four units or more will be 45%.

Reproduced by permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of HMSO. © Crown copyright and database right 2019. All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100040965 Cairngorms National Park Authority.

Affordable housing exceptions sites will be considered in locations that would not normally be used for housing, providing they justified by evidence of unmet local need. In exceptional cases where the delivery of 100% affordable housing is not viable, a limited amount of open market housing may be supported to cross-subsidise the affordable housing. These applications will be determined according to Policy I.6.

The CNPA's preference is for affordable housing to be delivered on-site via a Local Housing Authority (HLA) or Registered Social Landlord (RSL). However, it is recognised that in the rural area there are a number of challenges to delivering affordable housing including small scale nature of sites and gaining RSL involvement. Where this is not possible is other on-site delivery should be considered, such as discounted sale. Appendix I gives further information on the different types of affordable housing that could be provided.



1.6 Affordable housing exception sites

Development of 100% affordable housing sites will be supported in locations that would not normally be used for housing where justified by evidence of unmet local need shown through community needs assessments, housing needs and demand assessments or other information available at the time of application.

In exceptional cases where the delivery of 100% affordable housing is not viable, a limited amount of open market housing may be supported to cross-subsidise the affordable housing providing evidence shows that:

- a) the whole development comprises a minimum of two affordable houses; and
- b) the open market element is the minimum required to provide the necessary subsidy to facilitate the development of the affordable element; and

- c) the affordable housing could not be delivered without the input of the subsidy provided by the open market element of the proposal.

A financial contribution will only be accepted where onsite or off-site provision is proven not to be available or viable (see section xx on development viability). Further information on the level of financial contribution that is required is included in the following section.

Other financial contributions may be required towards community infrastructure (school, roads, drainage etc.).

The Plan also requires that all new housing, whether it falls under the definition of affordable or market, be designed to meet local needs and therefore target those who struggle to access the housing market. Policy 1.4 requires that a mix of housing types and sizes with an emphasis on smaller dwellings.

1.4 Designing for affordability

Residential development will be required to provide a mix of dwelling types and sizes to help secure a balanced housing stock, with an emphasis on smaller dwellings.

What is affordable housing?

Scottish Planning Policy (SPP) (2014) broadly defines affordable housing as housing of a reasonable quality that is affordable to people on modest incomes. Affordable housing may be provided in the form of social rented accommodation, mid-market rented accommodation, shared ownership housing, shared equity housing, housing sold at a discount (including plots for self-build), and low cost housing without subsidy. The CNPA regards lower quartile earnings as being “modest”.

The process of delivering affordable housing can be complex and involve a number of different agencies, funding mechanisms and procedures. The developer, National Park Authority, Registered Social Landlords (RSLs), local authorities and landowners must all work together to ensure delivery on the ground.

The following types of affordable housing are outlined in Appendix I:

- Social Rented
- Mid-market Private Rented
- Shared Equity
- Shared Ownership
- Discount for Sale (including self-build plots)

Delivering Affordable Housing

Funding is generally directed to the housing authorities within the councils (Affordable Housing Investment Programme) and housing associations (Housing Association Grant (HAG)).

The Scottish Government's Rural Housing Fund (£25 million between 2016-2019 to 2021) provides funding to community organisations, development trusts, landowners, developers and traditional housing providers to develop new affordable housing for ownership or rent, or bring back empty homes back into use as affordable housing in rural areas. Collaboration between groups will be welcomed. Council's also derive funding from other sources such as second home council tax, long term empty homes and financial contributions.

With Public Subsidy

Securing public subsidy is our preference as this secures on-site affordable housing in perpetuity either rented or housing for sale with a burden. The housing can either

be transferred to a Local Housing Association (LHA) or RSL once built or constructed by an RSL following land transfer of part of a site. Usually a developer must approach a LHA or RSL to gain access to funding and ensure a site is on the Strategic Housing Investment Programme (SHIP) and therefore it is important that applicants speak to an LHA and / or RSL early in the process. Section xx provides contact details for the various housing authorities and RSLs operating the area.

Financial Contribution (also known as Commuted Sum)

The CNPA accepts that in some instances that funding may not be available or some proposals such as conversions do not lend themselves to on-site delivery and in these instances we may consider taking a financial contribution. The financial contribution will be used to provide affordable housing elsewhere in the area. On allocated sites the preference is on-

site delivery rather than a financial contribution and further information on how this would be calculated is set out in Section xx. Depending on the area of the Park, the financial contributions generated by our affordable housing policy will be spent within the relevant housing market area.

It would be used for:

- securing land for affordable housing,
- supporting site appraisal work for sites being developed for affordable housing e.g. flood risk assessment,
- on and off site infrastructure costs for sites being developed for affordable housing, and
- purchase of housing units for use as affordable housing.

Offsite Contributions

Where assessments demonstrate that the provision of affordable housing is more suited on a different site, this will be considered. A proposal that incorporates an element of offsite provision of the affordable housing will only be granted planning permission when details of methods of delivery have been agreed. The development of both sites will be subject to a legal agreement to ensure that the affordable housing element of the development is built within an agreed timeframe.

Commuted Sums

All residential development must make a contribution towards affordable housing provision.

Where proposals are made for the development of less than four market dwellings, a financial contribution will be sought that will be put towards the delivery of affordable housing in another location. This finance will be ring-fenced for the provision of affordable housing. The contribution will be put towards the provision of affordable housing in the relevant housing market area. In the first instance, this will be sought in the same settlement.

VIABILITY STUDY INFO GOES HERE

Affordable Housing Exceptions Sites

100% Affordable Housing

All 100% affordable housing developments will be supported where they meet an identified local need.

Where the proposal is for rented accommodation, applicants and those eligible for any form of affordable housing must be taken or nominated from the housing waiting lists of the local housing authority, housing associations or from another organisation with an allocations policy appropriate to the Cairngorms National Park.

Developments must meet an identified need within the local community. This need will be shown through local assessments, formal information from the local housing authority, or by any other robust information available.

Independent assessments will be made of the required information to ensure the affordable development is required by the applicant, is of an appropriate size and

tenure, and is in a location which is justifiable.

The information provided must be sufficient to allow an independent assessor to carry out an assessment in a timely way which does not delay the process of determining the application.

This may include:

- Details to confirm the residents of the new development are in housing need. Applicants may be asked for detailed financial information. This information will be considered in confidence by the independent assessors and will not be passed to the planning authority;
- Details to confirm the residents of the new development have a need to live in the locality chosen;
- How the development is meeting a recognised need for affordable housing in the area;

- Explanation of why existing properties or sites which are for sale on the open market do not meet the need identified. Personal preference is not considered to be a valid material consideration;
- Floor plan details to allow comparison with the benchmarks on gross internal space set out in Section xx;
- Comparison costs to justify the affordability of the new development against the cost of existing housing stock;
- Information from the relevant LHA/RSL to confirm the development will result in a reduction in their waiting list.

Cross-subsidy

In exceptional cases where the delivery of 100% affordable housing is not viable, a limited amount of open market housing may be supported to cross-subsidise the affordable housing.

Public funding should be initially sought to meet the burden of development costs. In the event that this is not available then the cross-subsidy option may be considered including an element

As with proposals for 100% affordable housing development, independent assessors will be used to carry out an assessment to ensure the affordable element of the development is of appropriate size and tenure, and is in a location which is justifiable.

The information provided must be sufficient to allow an independent assessor to carry out an assessment in a timely way which does not delay the process of determining the application.

Evidence that any market element is the minimum required to fund the affordable element will need to be submitted. All affordable houses must meet a local need. Applications must include:

- Information to justify the need for all affordable housing. Refer to the local housing authority to gather

most up to date information. Any other community based studies may also be considered;

- Where proposals include an open market element, information to demonstrate that public funding or other forms of finance are not available;
- Information to demonstrate that the open market element is the minimum required to close the funding gap. This should include information on the costs of land purchase and construction of the affordable element. It should not include the cost of the land nor any part of the construction of the open market element;
- A design which is cohesive and creates a new or adds to an existing group of buildings. There should be no discernible difference in the appearance of the affordable and open market elements;
- Floor plan details to allow comparison with the benchmarks on gross internal space set out in Section xx.

Viability

Flexibility in the percentage requirement of affordable housing or making a commuted sum

It is recognised that all sites and projects will differ in terms of their context, characteristics and the delivery methods for affordable housing. In some cases, abnormal costs may justify a relaxation or exemption from the % of affordable housing requirements at any of the above locations. This is where the development would otherwise be considered to be unviable due to high infrastructure or unforeseen costs and the proposal would deliver desirable community and economic benefits. The cost of the land is not accepted as a valid reason. The applicant will have to undertake an appraisal of the site economics and submission of a viability report. This will require an open book approach between the applicant, developer or landowner and the Park Authority. The report must be produced by a suitably qualified person

and evidence provide to support the costing. The sensitivity of providing such information is acknowledged however this is required to demonstrate a genuine need to reduce the affordable housing requirement to ensure the development is viable and maximum community benefits are gained.

There may be options to change the design, house type, density or delivery method to improve viability and ensure that the scheme progresses. Where genuine difficulties with site viability can be proven without doubt, the CNPA will consider, through negotiation, reducing the percentage or reduced financial contribution. A financial contribution will be sought as discussed in the Section xx.

Where the site is located outside of a settlement boundary the requirement is for 100% affordable then the CNPA would wish to keep the open market element to a minimum and the applicant to comply with the cross-subsidy model of delivery. Therefore, it is not likely that the CNPA

would be willing to negotiation any more than 25% of the site being open market (that is 75% affordable housing requirement).

Flexibility in the length of time that a house must remain affordable

There may be flexibility in the policy requirements in terms of whether the affordable houses are controlled in perpetuity where the proposed affordable housing is part of a mix within a larger development. For example, this could be where a small percentage of the affordable housing is only for 10 years, delivered either by shared equity, discounted sale method, and then can be sold on at open market prices but the higher percentage of affordable housing on the site is secured in perpetuity, delivered by a Registered Social Landlord.

Designing for Affordability

The National Park has a disproportionately high proportion of larger and detached housing, which has resulted in a housing stock that is poorly equipped to meet arising housing needs. Policy 1.4 requires that new development offer a mix of dwelling types and sizes with the aim of meeting local need. A key part of this is ensuring that both affordable and market housing focuses on delivering smaller dwellings. With respect to market dwellings, this is to ensure that their prices are within reach of those living and working within the National Park, but would not qualify for affordable housing.

What are smaller dwellings?

Development should focus on providing homes that have adequate space that allows the occupier to enjoy a good living environment but without being excessive. For example, smaller dwellings would not be expected to have additional extra spaces/rooms, including but not exclusively:

- additional reception rooms,
- studies,
- games rooms or
- large garages which are not essential to meet the needs of the household.

Small garages are acceptable and will be assessed on a case by case basis.

It is recognised that in some cases a house may have to be larger than the specifications listed due to special needs requirements or the household having a high number of dependants. This will be assessed on a case by case basis. If a proposal exceeds the limits then a supporting statement should be included with the application to justify the extra space requirements. The dwelling should still avoid the inclusion of additional rooms, such as those listed in this section.

Dwelling Types

Over half of all dwellings with the National Park are detached, compared to a Scottish

level of around 20%. Detached houses in the National Park are often larger and carry a premium in price compared equivalent semi-detached or terraced properties. Therefore, while limited detached houses may be needed according to need, developers should focus on delivering semi-detached, terraced and where appropriate, flatted properties.

Permitted Development Rights

When permission is granted, permitted development rights for the housing of affordable tenure will be restricted for developments such as large garages and extensions to help ensure the dwelling remains of a smaller size. The normal planning criteria relating to access to the road, neighbour amenity, wildlife, landscape and heritage considerations etc. will apply. Also, the design must be high quality and meet the criteria of our Design and Placemaking guidance.

Sizing Guide

The gross internal area of smaller dwellings should typically fall within the following ranges:

1 bed: between 50-60sqm

2 bed: between 70-80sqm

3 bed: between 95-105sqm

4 bed: between 100-115sqm

¹ Gross Internal Area as defined in the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors Code of Measuring Practice (6th edition, May 2015). Size

ranges based on Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government Technical housing

standards – nationally described space standard (2015).

Other Housing Matters

Housing in settlements

Most large scale housing development proposals should be located on allocated sites or within Strategic and Intermediate Settlements as identified in the Local Development Plan.

Housing proposals on other windfall sites will also be considered. These are generally smaller sites which provide good opportunities for single or smaller scale housing developments.

In settlements residential developments must usually be located within the settlement boundary as set out in the Local Development Plan. Affordable housing exceptions sites may however be considered outwith (see section xx). They must be designed to ensure the character of the settlement is reinforced, making best use of the land available.

Housing development in existing rural groups

Applicants must be sure that the site is within a defined rural building group. The group must include three or more buildings, one of which must be an existing house. Ancillary buildings to the existing house are not included in this calculation. These include kennels, outbuildings, garages and sheds.

Each building group has its own individual identity. Where both natural and man-made boundaries exist, natural boundaries take precedence over man-made boundaries when defining the extent of a building group. Applicants should ensure that their development fits with the group and adds to the cohesive pattern of built form within its landscape setting. Developments must not extend the existing group by expanding into previously undeveloped fields; particularly where a definable natural boundary exists between the field and the existing group.

Where a group consists of a row of houses fronting a road, infill or additions using gaps of an appropriate size may provide opportunities for new dwellings. In such cases, new houses should be of a scale appropriate to the others in the locality.

Planning application must include a description of the group which the development is adding to, detailing the number of buildings in the group and an explanation of how the proposed development adds to the relationship between the buildings. Applicants must not extend the boundaries of the group past any existing defensible boundary or feature.

New development must not cause a group to increase in size by more than one third during the Plan period. This includes extant permissions which are yet to be completed.

Other housing in the countryside

If a development proposal is located outwith a settlement boundary, and is not within a rural building group then applicants must consider:

- Why the proposal is necessary for, or improves, the operational and economic viability of an active business. This business must demonstrate a valid locational need to be in the countryside. This includes land management operations, and tourism and recreation pursuits; or
- Whether the site is on rural brownfield land; and
- How it conserves the existing pattern of development. It should not erode the settlement hierarchy, and should not create a new form of development in the landscape which is at odds with existing built and landscape character.

Applications must include information to support the need for the house in the chosen location.

The contribution the house makes to the business it is to support will be considered by the planning authority. This can be either a new business venture or an existing one. However, applicants must be clear in the information provided that the business will rely on the house to ensure its economic success. In the case of a new business, it is required that the business element of the proposal is in place prior to the construction and completion of the house.

In the design of the development applicants must show how the proposal helps conserve the existing built form in the area. Applicants should not propose a development which is at odds to the existing built form. Applicants should also ensure that the settlement hierarchy is not eroded. This includes adequate consideration of alternative locations for the proposed development, particularly where there is an existing building group

in close proximity to the proposed development site.

Alterations to existing houses

When designing an alteration or extension to an existing building particular care should be given to ensuring that the proposed alteration complements the appearance and character of the existing building and its surrounding area. This means that applicants must consider the massing, proportions, materials and general visual appearance of the existing building, its neighbours and the wider area.

Applicants must ensure that the proposed alteration does not have a significant and unacceptable detrimental effect on the residential amenity enjoyed by adjoining householders. This means considering where windows are placed and how levels of daylight will be experienced throughout daily and annual cycles. Applicants must also ensure that adequate outside space is maintained for private garden ground, parking and access to the property.

Conversions

Conversion of non-domestic buildings into housing not only brings buildings back to life but it also provides opportunities to conserve our built heritage and help to maintain the character and distinctiveness of places within the National Park.

The conversion and renovation of the buildings must be as faithful as possible to the existing scale, character and materials. Additions and alterations should generally be limited to those necessary to achieve Building Standards, the efficient use of space and an appropriate and coherent design concept.

Applicants must consider how they will achieve adequate private garden space for the proposed domestic use of the converted building. Where the landscape setting is appropriate, and established boundaries such as walls and hedgerows relate well to the site, applicants may need to consider adjacent and intervening land for ancillary purposes, particularly to achieve adequate private garden space.

Where existing agricultural buildings are being converted away from agricultural use, applicants must provide information on any consequent need and proposals for siting new agricultural buildings to replace those which are redundant. Such buildings should not conflict with the residential use of the redundant buildings. If existing agricultural uses are to be retained in buildings close to the proposed residential uses, applicants will need to demonstrate that conflict or nuisance will not occur.

Conversion of traditional and vernacular buildings will be considered appropriate where the building is no longer required for its original use, and is unlikely to have a commercial or economic future in its current form. Applicants must set out the case for this in their planning submission. Conversion proposals should be designed to maintain the style and character of the original building in terms of form, scale, materials and detailing, where they contribute positively to the context and setting of the area. This means that the building, its setting and original design details must be carefully considered to

ensure that the converted building remains in harmony with its setting.

Applicants will need to demonstrate that:

- the building is no longer required for its original use, and that it is unlikely to have a commercial or economic future in its current form; and
- the development proposal maintains the original character of the building

Replacement houses

Before a proposal for a replacement house is considered, applicants will be required to investigate the feasibility of re-using or renovating the existing dwelling. Only once this has been shown to be to be unfeasible will a proposal for the demolition of an existing building and the construction of a replacement dwelling be considered. In the case of surviving traditional ruins, these should be used to form the basis of a renovation project appropriate to the setting, rather than considering their complete replacement.

The replacement house should be similar in scale to that which it replaces and the setting of the new house should be similar to that of the existing house in terms of orientation and distance from road unless individual site conditions suggest that another position (within the site boundaries) would create a better landscape fit.

If the proposed new house does not occupy the footprint of the previous house, that footprint will not be accepted as a site for a future housebuilding proposal. Proposals will need to demonstrate that the existing house is located within an established site with a good landscape setting and landscape fit; has site boundaries capable of providing a suitable enclosure for a new house; and is in other respects acceptable in planning terms.

Proposals for replacement houses must:

- Ensure that the original building is not a listed building;
- Demonstrate that the original is incapable of rehabilitation, being

demonstrably unsound structurally or is of a non-standard form of construction. This will usually be in the form of a qualified structural engineers report and financial appraisal;

- Be designed in such a way that the new development incorporates the original footprint of the building to be removed. The only exception to this is where an alternative location close to the original would minimise any negative effects which exist or would result from the new development. If the proposed new house does not occupy the footprint of the previous house, that footprint will not be accepted as a site for a future house building proposal;
- Incorporate existing buildings and/or materials where possible. If such materials are not to be incorporated into the proposed dwelling then the applicant must demonstrate (again through a qualified structural engineers

report) why these materials cannot be salvaged and re-used on-site.

Housing for gypsies and travellers

If a development is for housing specifically to meet the needs of gypsies and travellers applicants should consider site selection carefully. It must also be demonstrate that the development is needed, and has been identified as such by the local housing authority.

In designing the development applicants should consider the impact on neighbours, and the needs of the residents, both in terms of access and amenity. Screening may be required depending on the nature of the site.

Applications must include:

- Information on the need for the development;
- Clear justification for the site selection which matches the identified need.

Appendix I: Affordable Housing Delivery

Appendix I sets out the most common forms of affordable housing delivery. These options are not prescriptive or exhaustive and are a starting point.

Social Rented

The social rented sector relates to housing provided at an affordable rent and usually managed by a local housing authority (LHA) or Registered Social Landlord (RSL) such as a housing association or housing co-operative.

In some cases the LHA or RSL is the developer. This is where they have purchased or been gifted a plot of land and then houses or flats are constructed by them using funding from the government. Once constructed the houses or flats would be owned and managed by the LHA or RSL.

Alternatively, the developer constructs the units and then transfers them to the LHA or RSL. The Local Authority or RSL will

then own and manage the units and will allocate them to people in housing need in accordance with its allocations policy.

This option often results in a quick planning decision as a planning condition can be used to secure the affordable housing rather than using a legal agreement. It is recognised that social rented is not always the best method of delivery and that depending on the location and type of development that affordable housing for sale may be a better option (see options below on shared equity and discounted sale).

Mid-market Private Rented

Definition:

This is accommodation provided by either a developer or a LHA/RSL. In the National Park mid market rentals are often delivered by large rural estates or private individuals. The rent level is higher than social rent, but lower than private sector prices. In general, rent levels are set between 60 – 80% of the market rent. In the case of unsubsidised development, i.e.

development constructed and managed solely by the private landlord, the units must either be retained as mid-market rented properties in perpetuity or could be sold but under a different affordable housing option. If the units are managed by a LHA or RSL, then they can be easily retained as mid-market rent units in perpetuity.

In the case of a private developer a legal agreement is often used to set the lower rental value in perpetuity. This approach is unique in that it provides a place to live for those who can afford more than social rent, but not enough to purchase their own property or rent in the open market.

Approach:

An example of this in practice is under the National Housing Trust programme where a Local Authority and developer work together to deliver mid-market rent units. Scottish Government provides financial guarantees for borrowing undertaken by Councils to finance the schemes. This is an alternative to the traditional grantfunding models. The units are constructed by a

developer and then transferred to a Limited Liability Partnership (LLP) consisting of a board with members from Council, developer and SFT (Scottish Futures Trust). The developer receives an upfront payment of 65-70% of the predicted sale price at that point. The developer has to appoint an agent who manages the units on behalf of the LLP.

At the end of a 5 or 10 year period, the units may be sold off but as an affordable house often under discounted sale option. Another option could be that the houses are sold on the open market after a certain period of time but in that case there would be a cap on the profits for the developer of 20% and the remainder of any profits will be recycled into more affordable housing i.e. a financial contribution towards affordable housing in the National Park.

Outside the National Housing Trust, the procedure for delivering mid-market rent units can be more straightforward. The rent levels can be set during negotiations between the developer and the Planning

Authority/ LHA. In general, they are no more than 80% local housing authority rent levels for the area. Similar to above, a planning obligation would be used to retain the properties as mid-market rent in perpetuity or re-sale with set criteria as described above. This would only apply to developments within towns and villages not in the countryside.

Shared Equity

Definition:

This can be a property constructed with or without public subsidy. Shared equity is similar to shared ownership as they both allow a buyer to purchase a portion of a property and are forms of low-cost home ownership.

Unlike shared ownership the owner pays no rent and owns the property outright but the loan is shared. In the unsubsidised version the developer or government sells part of the equity – generally a share of 60 to 80% to an eligible purchaser. The developer or government retains the remainder of the equity in perpetuity and

this would be secured via a planning obligation or condition. In the subsidised version, the developer generally either transfers the completed units or the serviced land to a RSL. They then manage the sale of the units to eligible buyers. Again, the buyer can buy between 60 and 80% of the equity.

Approach:

The owner will initially purchase a share of the equity between 60 and 80% of the overall value of the property. The value of this share is usually capped and should be as close to 3.5* median income for the area as possible. The overall value of the property is therefore higher. The illustration above explains how this would work.

It is expected the following buyer would be offered the same shared equity deal but this could only be secured if there was a burden on the property. Therefore, if the house(s) are built by a private developer then the remaining equity share (loan) should be transferred to a RSL so the property is covered by a Rural Housing

Burden as set out below and the property remains affordable in perpetuity. This is the preferred option, as opposed to the developer or government holding the equity sale for a short period of time, because the National Park has a highly constrained supply of affordable housing and little scope for this supply to increase. The Rural Housing Burden can specify the following clauses:

1. The buyer is prevented from obtaining full ownership of the property. e.g. if the RSL managed to negotiate a discount on the initial purchase of the land, then they can lock this discount into the price in perpetuity through a Rural Housing Burden. This is known as the Golden Share.
2. The person to live in the house must use it as their permanent home and must have an affordable need.
3. The RSL has an option to buy the remainder share if a buyer cannot be found on the open market.




Figure 2 Illustration of how shared equity housing works.

Shared Ownership

Definition:

This is a property usually constructed with public subsidy. Shared ownership is similar to shared equity as they both allow a buyer to purchase a portion of a property and are forms of low-cost home ownership. A buyer enters into an agreement with a RSL, but pays rent (occupancy payment) on the remainder of the property. Unlike share equity this is usually operated by an RSL who has the ability to take rent for the remainder of the property but it could be operated by a private landlord such as an estate.

Approach:

The owner will initially purchase a stake in the property and pay rent on the remainder. For example, an eligible buyer may purchase a stake of 40% of the market value of a property and pay rent on the remaining 60% of the property owned by the RSL. The rent is usually set at an affordable value. The buyer can usually increase the percentage stake in




Figure 3 Illustration of how shared ownership housing works.

the ownership of the building over time, as and when they can afford to do so.

If the house(s) are built by a private developer then the property could be transferred to a RSL so the property is covered by a Rural Housing Burden as set out below and the property remains affordable in perpetuity. The Rural Housing Burden can specify clauses to those required under a shared equity scheme listed on page 30 but also may set the rent level.

In the National Park area there are housing associations who offer shared ownership where an eligible occupier (a person on the housing waiting list) can purchase a 25%, 50% or 75% share depending on how much the purchaser can afford. A monthly occupancy payment is paid to the housing association based on the size of the share that the association still own (like a rent). Often after a year there is an opportunity for the occupier to buy 100% of the house. We would wish to ensure that the association has put in place an obligation (the rural housing burden) to ensure the house is sold to a

new Sharing Owner from the housing waiting list, so remains affordable in perpetuity.

If a private developer wishes to own a share of the property then they would be required to enter in a legal agreement and agree clauses to secure the house(s) remain as affordable in perpetuity similar to those outlined under discounted sale option.

Discount for Sale (including self-build plots)

Definition:

A property is constructed and marketed at a discounted price. This is often referred to as low-cost homes for sale. The value of the sale would be discounted to ensure it is set at an affordable level. This would incorporate an option to lease at midmarket private rent to give the owner flexibility. The discount would also apply to re-sales to ensure the housing remains affordable in perpetuity. The property is constructed and marketed with or without the aid of affordable

housing subsidy. This can include the involvement of a RSL. The proposed property must be used as the household's main dwelling.

The discounted sale price would be calculated from the market value of the house on the open market. This cap on the house price will be written into the deeds and is in perpetuity. However, as the price of the unit is linked to the market value of the property, it can be taken out of the 'affordable' bracket in the case of volatile price rises. Unlike shared equity, the owner will own 100% of the property, but would have purchased at a discounted price.

Approach:

The maximum price of the property is always related to the market value of the dwelling on the open market. The market value of the unit will be set by the District Valuer or a similar independent RICS chartered surveyor. The maximum price will be negotiated between the Planning Authority (in cooperation with the RSLs or Housing Authority where necessary)

and the developer. The discount is generally between 20 and 40% and will be set following the open market valuation of the District Valuer. As such, the buyer pays 60 to 80% of the market value of the property. The calculation for working out the discount would be based on the income for the area but taking account of the land and build costs. The discounted sale value would not be less than the build costs to ensure the scheme is viable.

This discount will be locked in the Title Deeds via a planning obligation. This means that the owner cannot sell his property for more than approximately 60% to 80% of the market value (i.e. a house is valued at £150,000 on the open market but must be sold at £120,000.) For houses in the remote rural area, where house prices are lower, then the percentage is likely to be set at 80% of the market value and in the accessible areas it is likely to be set at 60% of the market value.

A planning obligation (also known as a Section 75 legal agreement) would be used to control that the property must only be used as the household's main dwelling.

There is no eligibility criteria that the occupier must meet but the house must be designed as an affordable unit (see section 9) with permitted development rights removed.

The house shall only be sold, transferred or otherwise disposed of to a person who will use the property as their main dwelling. On selling the vendor must write to us, as the planning authority, requesting that the planning authority fix the maximum sale price. The request shall be accompanied by an independent valuation which has valued the property. We would then have 28 days to confirm the max sale price which is based on between 60-80% of the open market value. As a guide, 3 months advance warning is considered an appropriate timeframe for notify the planning authority of the intention to market a discounted house.

What happens if the occupier falls in arrears of mortgage payments?

In the case where a mortgage lender repossesses a house then if a sale in accordance with the discounted sale

mechanism would not enable the lender to recover the whole of the outstanding loan and associated costs then the amount of the discount would be reduced, potentially to zero, and, if necessary, the requirement that the property would be occupied as a permanent home would be removed so as to seek to ensure a full recovery for the lender. This should ensure lenders are willing to lend where a person has a limited deposit.

For self-build plots, will the legal burden controlling sale price and occupier stop me getting a mortgage?

It is likely that applicants / occupiers would have to get a specialised mortgage product for self-build. Specialist independent mortgage advice should be sought. This is not a planning matter. It is recognised that not every person with an affordable need would have enough money to undertake a self-build project especially when land is valued low due to affordable housing requirement. Other forms of affordable housing such as social rented may be more

suitable in this case or funding may be able to be sought from a specialised lender.