Dear Ms Crerar

I refer to the above site, which is proposed to be included in the Local Development Plan. Landmark is a very popular tourist site and a highly regarded local business. It is appropriate that they have enough room to create additional car parking and expand the business. However, I must object to the size of the area to be included for this purpose. It more than doubles the existing site and it is unlikely that Landmark alone would require such a vast space. I understand that Seafield Estates are requiring Landmark to gain the land allocation, prior to them leasing/ selling them the additional area of woodland required for future expansion of their business.

This is obviously a cynical move by Seafield estates as they are aware of the respect locals have for the business. Gaining the allocation for the whole area then increases the value of the land and creates the opportunity to develop the area for uses other than Landmark. If the area was reduced by approximately half the current proposed extension, I would retract my objection.

Kind regards Denise Stott