

28 FEB 2018



Cairngorms
NATIONAL PARK
Pàirc Nàiseanta a' Mhonaidh Ruaidh



Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020 Main Issues Report

Comments Form

Introduction

We are asking for your views on the big issues that the Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020 will need to address and the options for tackling them. The Main Issues Report sets out choices for the land allocations that could be made for development, and for policies that will be used to make decisions on applications for planning permission. This consultation is your chance to influence the new Local Development Plan to help make sure it:

- provides the homes, jobs and services that our communities need
- protects and enhances the Park's unique environment and cultural heritage for future generations

The consultation runs from **17 November 2017 to 2 March 2018**.

- All documents are available to view at **www.cairngorms.co.uk**
- Comments can be emailed to **planning@cairngorms.co.uk**
- Or posted to:
Cairngorms National Park Authority
FREEPOST NAT 21454
Grantown-on-Spey PH26 3BR

Please use extra sheets if required.

Alternatively, an online version is available to complete at **www.cairngorms.co.uk**

All comments must be received by 5pm on Friday 2 March 2018.

Your details

Title MRS

Name Sheena SLiman

Organisation (if applicable)

Address

Email

Telephone

Please tick if you are happy to receive correspondence via email



Please tick to confirm you are happy for us to hold and use your personal data according to fair collection purposes



Please note we will not store personal data for anyone aged 16 or under – please tick if you are aged 16 or under



Data protection

Your details will only be used for purposes associated with the Main Issues Report consultation and Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020. You may request to see personal information held by the CNPA at any time.

Fair collection statement

As a registered Data Controller, the Cairngorms National Park Authority will collect, store and use your personal data for the purpose of informing the content of the Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020. We will not publish any address information, but may include your name against any comments, if you have confirmed that you are happy for us to do so in the 'Your Details' section.

Key Questions (Y/N – delete as appropriate)

Planning in the Cairngorms National Park

Q: We propose to use the vision and long-term outcomes set out in the National Park Partnership Plan as the 'vision statement' for the Local Development Plan.

Do you agree with this approach?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

FOR THE STRATEGIC SETTLEMENTS PROBABLY FOR LAGGAN INCLUSION OF THE ALLOCATIONS IN 2015 PLAN WOULD HAVE HELPED IN OUR HOPES TO GROW

Progress in delivering the current Local Development Plan

Q: Do you agree with our conclusions about the changes that need to be made to policies in the existing Local Development Plan?

Y/N

Q: Do you think any other changes are needed?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

YES FOR THE OTHER COMMUNITIES BUT DOES NOT BENEFIT LAGGAN YOUR ASSUMPTION WE ARE THRIVING IS NOT APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME.

Main Issue 1 Over-arching development strategy

Q: Do you agree that the overall development strategy of the current Local Development Plan remains appropriate, and that we should use this as the basis for the next Local Development Plan?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

① FOR LARGE DEVELOPMENTS BUT NOT FOR SMALL CLUSTERS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSES.

② YES

Main Issue 2 Designing great places

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan

① should include a new policy requiring development proposals to show how they meet the six qualities of successful places?

Y/N

② Q: Do you agree that we should include a clearer policy in the new Local Development Plan to set out when tools such as masterplans and development briefs will be used?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

WHERE THEY RELATE TO LAGGAN – NO. IT ASSUMES THE ONLY AREA FOR DEVELOPMENT IS THE WOLFTRAY AREA OF LAGGAN

Main Issue 3 Impacts and opportunities from the A9 and Highland Main Line upgrades

Q: Do you agree with our proposals to allocate new employment land to take advantage of the opportunities for inward investment associated with the A9 and rail upgrades?

Y/N

Q: Do you agree that we should seek to support those communities that are at risk of being by-passed by the A9 dualling project?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

NEW EMPLOYMENT LAND IN AVIEMORE & KINROSSIE – YES & HELP FOR KINCRAIG WHEN BY-PASSED BY BOTH CARS & CYCLISTS – MAY BE NEEDED. I THINK SUPPORT FOR DALWINDIE & NEWTONMORE WHEN THE TRAINS NO LONGER STOP – UNLESS CNP ASK FOR AN EXTRA LANE.

Main Issue 4 Housing

A) How much new housing do we need and where should it be built?

Q: Do you agree with our proposed Housing Supply Targets for the next Local Development Plan?

Y/N

Q: Do you agree that the proposed Housing Land Requirements are sufficiently generous?

Y/N

Q: Do you agree with our overall conclusions about the need for additional new housing sites in the new Local Development Plan?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

WE NEED AFFORDABLE FOR LOW INCOME TENANTS. MIN-MARKE – TO RENT & RENT TO PURCHAS ALL WITH RURAL BORDEN ATTACHED TO KEEP THEM IN THE HOUSING POOL FOR FUTURE PEOPLE WANTING TO LIVE/WORK IN THE AREA.

B) Housing growth around Aviemore

Q: Do you agree that we should include long-term development land in the Local Development Plan which could be released for development in the event that An Camas Mòr does not progress as envisaged?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

I SUSPECT EVEN IF AN CAMAS MÒR DOES GO AHEAD MORE LAND FOR HOUSING WILL BE NEEDED NOT NECESSARILY IN AVIEMORE - LAND FOR FUTURE BUSINESSES (LIGHT INDUSTRY COULD ALSO BE USEFUL - MUST BE MORE THAN TOURISM)

Main Issue 5 The affordability of housing

Q: Do you agree that we should increase the affordable housing requirement to 35% in Ballater and Braemar, and to 45% in Aviemore and Blair Atholl?

Y/N

Q: Do you agree that we should include policies to require a greater mix of house types and sizes, including more smaller homes?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

WE NEED TO ENCOURAGE AS MANY ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE.

YOUR OWN ASSUMPTIONS ON INCREASING ELDERLY REQUIRES - INCREASES IN CAREERS - NURSES - PHYSIO'S - OCCUPATION THERAPISTS - TO KEEP THE ELDERLY FIT - ACTIVE & AT HOME.

Main Issue 6 Economic development

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should identify a limited number of new economic development sites?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

EVERY COMMUNITY SHOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO OFFER LIGHT INDUSTRY SITES - TO ENCOURAGE ECONOMICALLY ACTIVE BUSINESSES IN - OF COURSE IMPROVED BROADBAND WILL ALSO BE NEEDED.

Main Issue 7 Impacts on Natura designations

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a more co-ordinated approach towards delivering wider packages of capercaillie mitigation and conservation measures?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

AS POINTED OUT IN "STRATHJ" LAST WEEK - CAPERCAILLIE NOT ENDANGERED IN SCANDINAVIA & EUROPE - TIME CNP ADMITTED OUR CLIMATE HAS CHANGED & OUR PROTECTION OF PINEMARTIN MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR CAPER NGS TO GROW

Main Issue 8 Planning obligations

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a revised and more rigorously justified policy on planning obligations?

Y/N

Q: Do you agree that this should be supported by more specific guidance in the plan about what planning obligations will be required in different settlements/locations?

Y/N

Please explain your answers

THE MORE OBLIGATIONS YOU PUT IN PLACE - THE MORE COSTLY ANY DEVELOPMENT BECOMES - LARGE HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD BE A THING OF THE PAST IN THE CNP -

Main Issue 9 Flood risk and climate change resilience

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include a stronger policy requirement for SUDS to be considered in all new development proposals?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

INCLUDED IN SUDS SHOULD BE DREDGING OF RIVERS IF NEEDED & CLEARING AWAY OF FLOATING FLOTTOM & DETTOM

Main Issue 10 Land management in upland areas

Q: Do you agree that the new Local Development Plan should include an amended policy to reflect the National Park Partnership Plan's presumption against new hill tracks in open moorland areas?

Y/N

Please explain your answer

EVERYTIME IT IS SUGGESTED THAT HILL TRACKS SHOULD COME UNDER PLANNING - THE ESTATES RUSH OUT & DIG UP A FEW MORE - ENCOURAGE ESTATES TO MAKE PATHS LESS VISIBLE & WALKER & CYCLIST FRIENDLY. THAT WILL KEEP NUMBER OF PATHS DOWN.

Settlements

Please tick which settlement you are commenting on.
Comments for different settlements should be provided on separate sheets.

An Camas Mòr	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cromdale	<input type="checkbox"/>	Killiecrankie	<input type="checkbox"/>
Angus Glens	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dalwhinnie	<input type="checkbox"/>	Kincraig	<input type="checkbox"/>
Aviemore	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dinnet	<input type="checkbox"/>	Kingussie	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ballater	<input type="checkbox"/>	Dulnain Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/>	Laggan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Blair Atholl	<input type="checkbox"/>	Glenlivet	<input type="checkbox"/>	Nethy Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/>
Boat of Garten	<input type="checkbox"/>	Glenmore	<input type="checkbox"/>	Newtonmore	<input type="checkbox"/>
Braemar	<input type="checkbox"/>	Glenshee	<input type="checkbox"/>	Strathdon	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bruar & Pitagowan	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grantown-on-Spey	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tomintoul	<input type="checkbox"/>
Calvine	<input type="checkbox"/>	Insh	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Carr-Bridge	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inverdrue & Coylumbridge	<input type="checkbox"/>		

(Y/N – delete as appropriate)

- ① Q: Have we identified the right issues for this settlement (where relevant)? **Y/N**
- ② Q: Do you agree with the proposed settlement objectives? **Y/N**
- ③ Q: Do you agree with the preferred site options (where relevant)? **Y/N**
- Q: Do you agree with the protected open spaces (where relevant)? **Y/N**
- Q: Do you agree with the proposed settlement boundary (where relevant)? **Y/N**

Please explain your answers

Laggan's issues are - children for the school

NO LOCAL TRANSPORT - SO HOUSING AROUND THE VILLAGE CLOSE TO SCHOOL & DR SURGERY & VILLAGE HALL WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE.

YOUR PREFERRED SITE OPTION WOULD BE GREAT FOR 10-2 WOODLAND PROTS - ESPECIALLY WITH LARGE EMPTY BARN AVAILABLE BUT NOT FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING TO RENT OR PURCHASE.

A SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY WOULD HELP - IT LETS PEOPLE KNOW WHERE THE CAMP WOULD PREFER HOUSES TO BE BUILT - SAVES GETTING APPLICATIONS KNOCKED BACK DUE TO ANCIENT WOODLANDS

If you have any other general comments on the topics you think the Local Development Plan 2020 should address, please let us know.

Laggan is bounded by 2 trunk roads - cyclists coming to Wolftrap stay in Wolftrap. - I do not think they see much of surrounding countryside. - the people coming to the holiday houses (not all cyclists) enjoy the farming activities & wildlife - they climb the local hills & visit the church & play park & the local history information boards - the community should be acknowledged

What happens next?

FOR MORE THAN MOUNTAIN BIKING GREAT AS IT IS.

Consultation responses will be collated and a report of the consultation published. We will use this to inform the preparation of the Proposed Cairngorms National Park Local Development Plan 2020. We expect to publish this for a further period of public comment in late 2018.

We will regularly update on progress via www.cairngorms.co.uk and on Facebook and Twitter via @cairngormsnews and #BigParkBigQuestions.

Queries

Cairngorms National Park Authority,
14 The Square, Grantown-on-Spey, PH26 3HG
Tel: 01479 873535 Email: planning@cairngorms.co.uk