## CAIRNGORMS NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY Paper 7 Annex | 15 June 2018

## Annex I: SWOT Analysis summarising the work of existing Visitor Giving Schemes

Source: 2014 DEFRA report on Visitor Giving.

Strengths:	Weaknesses:
Ability to tailor schemes to	Difficulties raising the profile of the scheme
the needs and interests of donors	among local businesses and communities.
are needs and meeres or denots	among rocar businesses and communicies.
Dues d bessed sure seet from least model and	Linday activacting the costs of maning VCC
Broad-based support from local residents,	Under-estimating the costs of running VGS.
businesses and visitors	
	Difficulties monitoring and evaluating VGS
Their ability to build collaborations between	and the projects they support. None of the
organisations and groups in the local area	schemes interviewed undertook routine
organisations and groups in the rotal area	monitoring or evaluations of their scheme or
T 11.1 C	
Tangible benefits arising from projects	the projects they supported, relying instead
	on anecdotal feedback from partners.
Marketing and brand benefits for	
participating businesses	
Awareness raising for local charities.	
Awai eriess raising for local charities.	
Opportunities:	Threats:
Bringing more businesses into the scheme	Heavy reliance on members to
	support core functions of VGS
Product placement	· ·
Troduce placement	Lack of participation from local businesses
	Lack of participation from local businesses
Improving flexibility to match donor needs	
	Competition between schemes as new VGS
Increasing visitor numbers	are established;
Increasing desire among businesses to	Poor links between donations and project
Increasing desire among businesses to	Poor links between donations and project
enhance their social and environmental	Poor links between donations and project benefits
	benefits
enhance their social and environmental	benefits  Negative language e.g. around "bed taxes"
enhance their social and environmental	benefits
enhance their social and environmental	benefits  Negative language e.g. around "bed taxes"
enhance their social and environmental	benefits  Negative language e.g. around "bed taxes"

economic trends.