

AGENDA ITEM 7

APPENDIX I

2015/0038/DET

REPRESENTATIONS

EPlanning Centre
The Highland Council
Glenurquart Road
Inverness IV3 5NX

RECEIVED 14th July 2014

Dear Sirs,

Ref: 14/02532/FUL Retrospective Planning

I refer to the above application and wish to register our objections .

1. Yet again the Agents for the co-op development are seeking retrospective approval for work they have carried out for which they had no authority, continuing a practice which has dominated this development.
2. Current use of external lighting has caused us significant nuisance problems over the last year , particularly during the winter months.
It attracts local youths who use the car park,as a floodlit football pitch,particularly between the hours of 8.30 -11.00
3. This results in continuous thumping of footballs against the walls, our fence, the bus shelter, not forgetting the balls being kicked into our garden,against vehicles in our driveway, and the house itself.
4. Advised by the police, we have recorded over 40 such incidents between Sept and May, many of which we have had to call the police about. They will have a record of such incidents/calls.
This has already escalated to our fence being vandalised,the tree at the bus stop destroyed, and on 2 occasions, laser lights being shone into our house because we have complained and the police took action.
5. The police will confirm that the lighting is the attraction for youths to gather and play football. No such incidents ever occurred when Waltzing Waters operated the site,and all lighting was extinguished at 4pm.
6. Finally, we would add that lighting from the shop entrance and the strret lights are more than sufficient to illuminate the car park for customers, and we would urge you to reject this retrospective application.

Yours sincerely,



Mr and Mrs Martin (Glenavon Cottage)
cc.Counillor Rimell

Application Comments for 14/02532/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: 14/02532/FUL

Address: Co-Operative Group Main Street Newtonmore PH20 1DR

Proposal: Retrospective application for extract duct - atm aerial & lights

Case Officer: John Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Brian Hunt

Address: Glenavon House Main Street Newtonmore

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This problem has been going on for 3 years and I still dont think we are any closer to a solution. At the original Cairngorm park planning meeting at Boat of Garten 3 years ago it was decided that nothing was to go on the East side of the building because of the close proximity to our property. The reasons for our objection for retrospective planning are :-

1. We dont think that the proposed solution is good enough, as we will still be faced with noise from the 2 a/c extract grills.
2. Only half the problem is being addressed. As you can see from the plan it shows retrospective planning for the 2 a/c extract grills. We have problems during the summer months with noise coming from these grills running 24hrs a day.
3. The only good thing on the plans are the removal and reinstatement of the large grill surely if they are rerouting the duct from the East wall to the West wall the same can be done with the a/c extract grills after all nothing is to be on the East wall.

Comments for Planning Application 2015/0038/DET

Application Summary

Application Number: 2015/0038/DET

Address: Co-Operative Group Main Street Newtonmore PH20 1DR

Proposal: Retrospective application for extract duct - atm aerial & lights

Case Officer: Matthew Taylor

Customer Details

Name: Mr Brian Hunt

Address: Glenavon House Main Street Newtonmore

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The proposed alterations seem to be the best solution to solve the ongoing problem with unacceptable levels of noise from the extraction units. It would be appreciated if a timescale could be detailed for works to be completed as the neighbours have had to contend with the noise for over three years and there has been one unit running for twenty four hours a day since the beginning of January.

The Co-op have been less than helpful over this matter with no apology for the disruption and upset caused.